

Differential-Jitter Estimation Accuracy Using Centroid-Based Angle-of-Arrival

Matthew R. Whiteley, Ph.D.

October 22, 2008

MZA Associates Corporation

2021 Girard Blvd. SE, Suite 150 Albuquerque, NM 87106 505-245-9970 1360 Technology Ct, Suite 200 Dayton, OH 45430 937-684-4100 140 Intracoastal Pointe Dr. Suite 310 Jupiter, FL 33477 561-747-6881



WaveTrain Model



- Two cameras offset by an arbitrary separation imaging a common point source
- Functionally equivalent to a differential-image-motion monitor (DIMM)
 - O Sometimes referred to as an r0-meter
- This is the fundamental building block of the LRP
- Used 5 cm aperture with closest subaperture spacing from baseline design
 - Based on SRP, s/D = 1.5875



Atmospheric & Geometry Modeling Considerations



- Calculations assume $\lambda = 1550$ nm
- Assumed 1x HV57 turbulence over path with curved earth
- r0 larger than baseline subap diameter over altitudes & ground ranges considered



- Curved earth causes mid-path turbulence enhancement
- Can result in quite high Rytov numbers depending on path altitude and range
- **Profiler concept based on weak** turbulence assumption—practical range limit??



Linearity with Turbulence Multiplier



• Differential jitter variance scales directly with turbulence multiplier

O Rytov number also scales directly with turbulence multiplier

Using 2500 m altitude and 150 km range we see acceptable linearity of differential-tilt variance

O Note: $1 \times HV57 = Rytov > 1$



Sample Focal Plane Images: HV57 Model



DiffJitterRunHV-2500-150-1p50.trf, 25 realizations



- Focal plane images are well-formed even for Rytov > 1
- Due to the fact that r0 is larger than subaperture



Sample Focal Plane Images: Slab + HV57 Model



DiffJitterRunSlab10xHV1x.trf, 25 realizations

DiffJitterRunSlab200xHV1x.trf, 25 realizations





DiffJitterRunSlab300xHV1x.trf, 25 realizations



DiffJitterRunSlab100xHV1x.trf, 25 realizations



DiffJitterRunSlab400xHV1x.trf, 25 realizations





Differential Jitter with Slab/HV57



- Variance compared to theory
- Scales linearly with (D/r0)^{5/3}

- Jitter compared to theory
- Plot indicates size of modeled pixel



Differential Jitter Error



 Error compared to theory is a fraction of the modeled pixel subtense

- Relative error < 10% even for largest values of D/r0
- Centroid error with considerable higher-order breakup is quite tolerable

5



 Centroid accuracy studies were made with "high resolution" imaging system

○ Camera pixel = 0.2 λ /D → 12 pixels over airy spot

• Gen-2 profiler design will work with larger pixel IFOV

• Allows more light in pixel for long-range operation

• Centroid will be affected by size of pixel on focal plane

To address this concern

- Simulation image data was reprocessed into images with lower resolution
- Centroid data was recomputed for lower-resolution images
- **O** Reported centroid was compared with centroid from high-resolution image



Example: Images with Increased IFOV

IFOV = 1





IFOV = 4



IFOV = 6





Centroid Accuracy with Slab/HV57

- X-axis centroids
- "Pixel downsample factor" = ratio of IFOV to high-resolution image
- high-res = 6.2 µrad = 0.2 λ/D

- Y-axis centroids
- Error nominally independent of D/r0 up to IFOV = $0.8 \lambda/D$
- Pixelization error < 0.1 pixel for IFOV < 0.6 λ/D
 - O <0.6 µrad

- Scaling of differential tilt variance with strength multiplier for distributed turbulence shows expected linearity
- "Weak turbulence" assumption underlying profiler theory of operation holds up well at high Rytov numbers
- Effect of higher-order phase on centroid-tilt accuracy is <10% effect for D/r₀<5
- No compelling reason to use focal plane processing other than centroid to determine tilt (angle of arrival)
 - Applying a threshold prior to centroid desirable, as is done with the short-range profiler
- ~20 µrad pixel will result in ~0.5 µrad centroid error due to pixelization
 - This effect combines with differential-jitter error from theory/simulation comparison
 - O <10% error for likely propagation conditions